Introduction

Emergency management is a managerial function charged with creating the framework within which communities reduce vulnerability to hazards and cope with disasters. Emergency management professionals seek to promote safer, less vulnerable communities with the capacity to cope with hazards and disasters. Communities in this context are broadly defined to include humans, organizations, the environment, and government.

Emergency management professionals work with a diverse group of stakeholders in a wide variety of businesses and industry sectors, in non-profit organizations, and in all levels of government. Emergency management professionals are relied upon for their subject matter expertise and support in managing a diverse, complex risk portfolio for the communities they serve. Emergency management professionals recognize the value of, and are committed to, developing and promoting in themselves and their organizations the following competencies: disaster risk management; community engagement; governance and civics; leadership; scientific, geographic, sociocultural, technological, and systems literacy; operations consistent with the emergency management framework, principles, and body of knowledge; critical thinking; continual learning; and, compliance with professional ethics.

Inherent in the practice of emergency management is a series of duties and responsibilities to affected populations, partners, stakeholders, the public, the environment, colleagues, employers, the profession, and self. These duties and responsibilities were examined in concert with established competency behavioral anchors related to professional ethics (see Appendix B) and foundational ethical tenets to develop expected professional conduct standards. In its totality, the Code of Ethics and Professional Conduct for Emergency Management Professionals delineates an ethical framework to guide practice in both known and uncertain environments.

Code of Ethics

The Code of Ethics provides emergency management professionals with a set of foundational tenets that guide ethical practice and decision-making. These tenets emphasize the need to think and act ethically. Emergency management professionals have a duty of care that requires a careful, critical decision-making process grounded in ethical deliberation.
This Code of Ethics is intended to help frame ethical thinking about behaviors and decisions in conjunction with the Code of Professional Conduct. Emergency management professionals can utilize this Code of Ethics to ensure a more careful and critical process regarding behaviors or decisions that are morally or ethically challenging. The foundational tenets, both aspirational and prescriptive, capture the essence of effective and just emergency management practice.

**Foundational Tenets**

**Think ethically, act morally.**

Sometimes it is clear what the right thing to do is, but often it can be difficult to sort out, especially if there are conflicting ethical arguments (see Appendix C). It is not enough to be able to ethically analyze situations or to know what the right thing to do is; emergency management professionals must act morally. To act morally, emergency management professionals’ actions should evidence moral courage, integrity, honesty, respect, kindness, professionalism, competence, impartiality, and objectivity. These actions delineate the requisite moral character for professional emergency management practice. Ethical decision-making is strongest when the knowledge and skills to analyze the ethics of complicated situations and the moral character to act in the best way possible are both present.

**Obey the law.**

A social contract exists between citizens and their governments, with duties and obligations on both sides. As citizens and as emergency management professionals, there is an obligation to obey the law, except in very rare cases where a law is immoral. But there is an important distinction between what is legal and what is moral. The law is prescriptive, not aspirational, and represents minimal standards of morality. There are times when it is sufficient to simply obey the law, and there are other times when obeying the law is not enough to satisfy moral imperatives. For example, the law does not require emergency management professionals to treat victims with kindness, but it is moral to do so.

**Maximize the good done for people and society, taking into consideration the needs of the most vulnerable.**

A basic principle of ethics is to do the greatest good for the greatest number, as long as the most vulnerable are not disadvantaged. This principle is important and must be tempered in two ways. First, what is good and how is it measured must be debated and agreed upon (e.g., political parties often disagree on what is good, as do environmentalists compared to real estate developers). It is not solely up to emergency management professionals to decide what is good – these decisions are best made by engaging stakeholders to derive public values. Second, people and institutions have rights that should not be needlessly or thoughtlessly trampled over in the pursuit of a greater good.
Respect the rights of people and organizations; fulfill duties and obligations to those served.

One of the problems with maximizing good is that it can be used to justify bad actions if the needs of many are served. As a society, we agree that some actions that serve the greater good are immoral (e.g., we do not harvest organs from people without their consent, even if doing so would save several lives). We need to be aware of, and respect, the rights of others whether they are constitutionally based, defined by laws, or accepted as cultural norms. There are also times when the perceived rights of some conflict with the common good, or the rights of others (e.g., choosing not to wear a face mask during a pandemic may conflict with the rights of others not to get infected, or the health of the public at large).

Emergency management professionals have duties and obligations to employers, clients, and stakeholders, which must be fulfilled. While many of these duties and obligations are defined by job descriptions, some are a result of societal expectations (which though unwritten, are important and can be powerful). Emergency management professionals must act ethically and professionally in the execution of their duties and obligations.

Build trusting relationships.

Trust is an asymmetrical relationship, which means that it is hard to gain and easy to lose. Trusting relationships are essential to effective emergency management practice. Trust is gained by having a virtuous character (particularly fidelity), being competent, following the law, and avoiding conflicts of interest.

A conflict of interest is a situation in which an emergency management professional has a private or personal interest sufficient to influence (or even have the appearance to influence) the objective exercise of his or her official duties. Emergency management professionals must avoid their judgment being influenced by any conflict of interest and shall inform their employer, or client, of any conflict between their own personal interest and service to the relevant party.

When faced with an ethical dilemma, use an ethical decision-making process.

Ethical dilemmas (having to choose between two rights or two wrongs) present difficult choices between competing ethical principles. Ethical dilemmas occur either because different ethical perspectives (such as the greater good versus individual rights) lead to different decisions, or because of varying underlying values (such as defining good as economic development versus environmental protection). Ethical dilemmas can be extremely difficult and contentious to manage. When faced with an ethical dilemma there is generally no one correct answer. In addressing these dilemmas, a transparent, inclusive and effective decision-making process should be used to arrive at an equitable outcome based on ethical reasoning (see Appendix C).
Professional Standards of Conduct

These standards present professional expectations for all emergency management professionals and extend across all areas of practice as well as individuals’ representation of the profession of emergency management. These standards focus heavily on the duty of care inherent in the practice of emergency management and seek to clearly delineate expected professional behaviors. These standards may reach beyond organizational or jurisdictional policies, requirements, or laws; conversely, organizational or jurisdictional policies, requirements, or laws may issue more stringent standards. These standards should be viewed as universal baseline expectations for all emergency management professionals.

Responsibility to Affected Populations

STANDARD 1: Emergency management professionals recognize that diversity in needs exist and work to provide services without discrimination or preference.

STANDARD 2: Emergency management use their expertise to communicate clearly, effectively, and appropriately regarding risks.

STANDARD 3: Emergency management professionals collaborate with stakeholders to understand vulnerabilities, exposures, threats, and the unique characteristics of communities in determining risk reduction measures.

STANDARD 4: Emergency management professionals advance the development and implementation of programs, plans, strategies, and initiatives to support life safety, reduce or eliminate damage to property and the environment, and support quality of life.

Responsibility to the Partners, Stakeholders, and Public

STANDARD 5: Emergency management professionals create and maintain robust, effective relationships with a wide variety of partners.

STANDARD 6: Emergency management professionals are aware of and operate within applicable laws and regulations.

STANDARD 7: Emergency management professionals educate, inform, and promote change in programs, policies, regulations, and laws that conflict with the professional and effective practice of emergency management.
STANDARD 8: Emergency management professionals accurately represent their qualifications.

STANDARD 9: Emergency management professionals support and guide evidence-based choices and actions by clearly communicating the adverse impacts of hazards and threats based on scientific evidence.

STANDARD 10: Emergency management professionals stay informed about new research, practice standards, relevant tools, and technologies.

STANDARD 11: Emergency management professionals remain current on issues that affect public risk.

STANDARD 12: Emergency management professionals do not engage in or endorse abusive, harassing, or hostile professional relationships.

STANDARD 13: Emergency management professionals make sound fiscal decisions that support effective practice and the stewardship of resources.

**Responsibility to the Environment**

STANDARD 14: Emergency management professionals understand the interconnectedness, interdependence, and sensitivities between the human, built, cyber, and natural environments.

STANDARD 15: Emergency management professionals seek to protect the natural environment from harm and, where practical, nurture its recovery.

**Responsibility to Colleagues**

STANDARD 16: Emergency management professionals support and assist other professionals in meeting and elevating emergency management practice.

STANDARD 17: Emergency management professionals respond appropriately to unprofessional and problematic behavior of their colleagues.

**Responsibility to Employers**

STANDARD 18: Emergency management professionals take direction from employers without deviating from professional standards.

STANDARD 19: Emergency management professionals have a duty to provide employers with all available relevant facts, data, and resources so that they are able make informed decisions.
STANDARD 20: Emergency management professionals, whether in formal or informal leadership roles, have an obligation to use an ethical decision-making model to help create an organizational culture that promotes and encourages professional behavior.

Responsibility to the Profession

STANDARD 21: Emergency management professionals have a duty to further the standing of the profession through their words, behaviors, and actions.

STANDARD 22: Emergency management professionals have an obligation to advocate for a representative and diverse profession.

STANDARD 23: Emergency management professionals promote the continuing development and improvement of their profession.

STANDARD 24: Emergency management professionals support and assist emergency management students in their learning and career development, including opportunities to engage in relevant internships and practicums, participate in training and practice activities, and contribute to meaningful work projects and initiatives.

Responsibility to Self

STANDARD 25: Emergency management professionals have a commitment to lifelong learning and to continually advance their knowledge and skills to serve their community and the profession.

STANDARD 26: Emergency management professionals recognize how their own cultural and social backgrounds, beliefs, values, and biases may affect competent and just service, and strive to make proactive positive changes for the benefit of their constituents.

STANDARD 27: Emergency management professionals are mindful of the ways in which stress can affect their health and well-being and take appropriate self-care measures.

STANDARD 28: Emergency management professionals practice continual self-reflection focused on professional growth and development.
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Appendix A: Definitional Framing of Select Terms

**Discrimination**: The practice of unfairly treating a person or group differently from other people or groups of people.

**Diversity**: The practice or quality of including or involving people from a range of different social and ethnic backgrounds and of different genders, sexual orientations, etc.

**Duty**: A moral or legal obligation.

**Emergency management (discipline)**: The study of how human beings interact and cope with hazards, vulnerabilities, and associated events. The discipline focusses its study on how humans cope with hazard events through disaster risk reduction and the functional areas of preparedness, response, recovery, and mitigation.

**Emergency management (profession)**: Emergency management is the managerial function charged with creating the framework within which communities reduce vulnerability to hazards and cope with disasters (FEMA, Principles of Emergency Management, 2007).

**Emergency management professionals**: Those engaged in the professional practice of emergency management.

**Employer**: A person, company, or organization that employs people.

**Equity**: Recognition that each person has different circumstances and allocating the exact resources and opportunities needed to reach an equal outcome.

**Equitable**: Fair and just treatment of all concerned.

**Public risk**: Possible loss, damage, or threat from hazards that affect the public.

**Qualifications**: Special skills, knowledge, or abilities that make someone suitable for emergency management practice.

**Quality of life**: Maintaining a standard of health, comfort, and access to services and resources by individuals or groups.

**Risk reduction**: Reduction of the likelihood that a hazard will occur or the extent of its impact.

**Scientific evidence**: Information gathered from scientific research.

**Stakeholder**: Any individual, group, or government entity that has something to gain or lose from the creation of, interaction with, or coping with hazards, risks, vulnerabilities, and associated events.
Appendix B: Behavioral Anchors


Behavioral Anchor 1

Respect: Actualizes honoring of individuals and groups of people by promoting dignity, diversity, and the rights of others; recognizes and respects the weight of their own actions as they work in communities.

Behavioral Anchor 2

Veracity: Demonstrates truthfulness and accuracy of facts, and abstains from misrepresentation in all situations.

Behavioral Anchor 3

Justice: Embodies a sense of obligation to the common good and treats others equitably and fairly; honors the rights of all species (present and future) when making decisions regarding the distribution of resources.

Behavioral Anchor 4

Integrity: Displays consistency between belief and action in all arenas of life.

Behavioral Anchor 5

Service: Acts to help others; is altruistically motivated. Puts others first, operating beyond the ego.

Behavioral Anchor 6

Duty to protect: Considers the moral obligation to avert harm (both present and future) and works toward a common good; facilitates community building, cognizant that all actions have consequences affecting people and performance.

Behavioral Anchor 7

Integrates ethical principles within stakeholder discourse: Guides ethical decision making across multiple stakeholders, who have varying interests, to derive public value.
Appendix C: Ethics in Action

(Under development – expected June 2023)
Appendix D: Ethical Decision-Making Process

When faced with an ethical dilemma there is generally no one correct answer. In addressing these dilemmas, a transparent, inclusive, and effective decision-making process should be used to arrive at an equitable outcome based on ethical reasoning.

### Decision-making Process for Ethical Dilemmas

| Context | • Know the facts of the case and antecedents.  
|         | • Know the expectations of those involved.  
|         | • Be aware of social and political pressures on decision-makers and stakeholders. |
| Nature of the decision-maker | • What are the biases and values of the decision-maker?  
| | • What knowledge do they have of ethics and relevant codes?  
| | • What relevant experience do they have?  
| | • What is their character like? |
| Recognition of the problem as ethical | • To what extent does the situation evoke ethical concerns?  
| | • To what extent does the situation evoke personal and cultural values?  
| | • Apply the following three intuitive tests:  
| | ➢ The pillow test - would your decision allow you to sleep at night?  
| | ➢ The child test - what would you tell your child to do?  
| | ➢ The media test – would you want your decision to be in the public view? |
| Problem clarification | • Identify relevant rights, duties, responsibilities, and cultural norms/values.  
| | • Identify pressures on decision-makers and stakeholders.  
| | • Identify other relevant information needed to clarify the situation and possible actions. |
| Action identification and evaluation | • Generate action possibilities  
| | ➢ Determine the consequences of the various actions.  
| | ➢ Examine the rights, duties, norms, and values associated with the various actions.  
| | ➢ Develop an initial justification for each possibility.  
| | • Assess the justifications  
| | ➢ Is the approach being used in a comprehensive and logical way?  
| | ➢ Is the argument consistent?  
| | ➢ Are all ethical concerns identified?  
| | ➢ Is there moral self-awareness? |
| Choice of actions and implantation | • Consider which action choice or combination of choices is best defended by ethical arguments.  
| | • Identify factors that might impede a chosen ethical action.  
| | • Consider how those impediments might be overcome.  
| | • Eliminate impediments where possible and implement ethical actions. |